



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/081,352  | 02/22/2002  | Charles Calvin Byers | 49                      | 9766            |  |
| 7590 01/12/2006   |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |  |
| Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)  |             |                      | PENDLETON, BRIAN T      |                 |  |
| Lucent Technologies Inc. 101 Crawfords Corner Road Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030 |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
|   |             |                      | 2644                    |                 |  |
|   |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006 |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)          |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 10/081,352      | BYERS, CHARLES CALVIN |  |  |
|                 |                       |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit              |  |  |

|   | brian i. Fendleton  | 2044  |                                       |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence add  | ress                                  |
| THE REPLY FILED 12/21/05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA  | ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLO   | WANCE.  |                                       |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or<br/>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo<br/>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br/>(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete<br/>following time periods:</li> </ol> | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a<br>ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in                 | iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (            | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or          |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing d   |   |   |                                       |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F                       | f the final rejection.                                    |                                       |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)<br>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on  |   | a) and the appropriate exte                               | ncion fee have                        |
| been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL     | nd the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)     | n fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be  | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)   | ), to avoid dismissal o                                   | of the appeal.                        |
| AMENDMENTS  |   |   |                                       |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further contained.</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>  | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>w);  | TE below);  |                                       |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  |   |   | the issues for                        |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   | ejected claims.   |                                       |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |   | ompliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324)                            |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |   | op.i.a  | (1 102 02 1).                         |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   | , timely filed amendm                                     | ent canceling                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>15 and 16</u> . Claim(s) objected to:   | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w<br>vided below or appended.                              | vill be entered and an                                    | explanation of                        |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-14</u> .  |   |   |                                       |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |                                       |
| <ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>  | ut before or on the date of filing a N<br>d sufficient reasons why the affida             | Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u><br>vit or other evidence i | ot be entered<br>s necessary          |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S            | al and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(            | ils to provide a<br>1).               |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e   | entry is below or attac                                   | hed.                                  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br/><u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>   | t does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowa                                    | nce because:                          |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper   | No(s)   |                                       |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   |   |                                       |
|   |   |   |                                       |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner is not persuaded by the argument that Neuhaus does not disclose changing a sound field pattern as the vehicle advances toward a geographic zone. Neuhaus provides for the frequency, phase and amplitude of a vehicle mounted sound source to change according to the speed of the vehicle determined by magnetic pickup 5. Therefore, the sound field pattern changes as the vehicle approaches a particular zone.

BRIAN TYRONE PENDLETON
PRIMARY EXAMINER